

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,661	12/10/2001	Ryuji Nakanishi	111411	2226
25944 75	590 . 04/23/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		NGUYEN, TRUC T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,661	NAKANISHI, RYUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Truc T. T. Nguyen	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		t with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		MONTH(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, marely within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	3 April 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	otio s					
4) Claim(s) 21-41 is/are pending in the application of the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 40-41 is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 21-23 is/are rejected.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>24-39</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	u/or election requirement	•				
• •	iner.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the continued deplet from the serious for the serious from the serio						
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application h	as been received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	🗂	Common (PTO 413) Papar No(c)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraus et al. (US 6,280,253 B1) in view of Shimochi (US 5,285,011).

Regarding claim 21, Kraus et al. disclose a fuse module comprising:

- a plurality of fuse pieces (93), each including a plate-shape input and output terminal (94, 94);
- a fuse casing (72) made of insulating material and including a plurality of fuse mount holes (92) that separately receive the fuse pieces; and

Art Unit: 2833

tab terminals (42-64) incorporated in the fuse casing and electrically connected with the output and input terminal respectively (see Figure 1).

Although Kraus et al. do not specifically disclose a fuse element connected between the input and output terminal of the fuse piece. This feature is seen to be an inherent teaching of that device since a fuse piece is disclosed and it is apparent that some type of fuse element must be present for the fuse piece to function as intended.

Kraus et al. substantially disclose the claimed invention except for the fuse body being entirely received by the fuse mounted holes.

Shimochi teaches the fuse (72) being entirely received by the fuse mounted holes (70).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fuse mount holes of Kraus et al to receive entire body of the fuse, as taught by Shimochi for protecting the fuse body from external damage.

Regarding claim 22, in the modified fuse module, Kraus et al. disclose busbars (99, 101, 110) connected with the tab terminal.

Regarding claim 23, in the modified fuse module, Kraus et al. disclose the fuse piece is vertically mounted in the fuse mount hole and the tab terminals engage corresponding plateshape output or input terminals.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 24-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2833

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 24-30 and 40, the prior art of record fails to teach a hole section for receiving the fusing element of the fuse piece.

Regarding claims 31-39 and 41, the prior art of record fails to teach a plurality of input connection terminal interpose and engage the input terminal and the tab terminals, and an output connection terminal interposed between and engage the output terminals and the tab terminals.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in view of Shimochi (US 5,285,011).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 703-308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2833

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

T. Nguyen

April 20, 2003